

**OSSEO CITY COUNCIL
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
August 14, 2023**

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Duane Poppe called the regular meeting of the Osseo City Council to order at 7:03 p.m. on Monday, August 14, 2023.

2. ROLL CALL

Members present: Councilmembers Juliana Hultstrom, Ashlee Mueller, Mark Schulz, Alicia Vickerman and Mayor Duane Poppe.

Staff present: City Administrator Riley Grams, Public Works Director Nick Waldbillig, Alyson Fauske, WSB & Associates; Janel Bitzen, Bergan KDV; and City Attorney Mary Tietjen.

Others present: John Hall, City Resident; James Kelly, City Resident; Nick Torres, City Resident.

3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Poppe led the Pledge of Allegiance.

4. APPROVAL OF AGENDA [Additions - Deletions]

Poppe asked for additions or deletions to the Agenda.

A motion was made by Schulz, seconded by Hultstrom, to accept the Agenda as presented. The motion carried 5-0.

5. CONSENT AGENDA

- A. Approve July 24 Work Session Minutes
- B. Approve July 24 Council Minutes
- C. Approve July 31 Work Session Minutes
- D. Approve Hire of Firefighter Alexia Budensiek
- E. Accept June Fire Department Activity Report
- F. Approve Gateway Sign Fee Waiver for Girl Scouts Unit 151 Osseo Kickoff
- G. Accept July Fire Department Gambling Report
- H. Accept July Lions Club Gambling Report
- I. Accept Resignation of Assistant Fire Chief Derick Haug

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Vickerman, to approve the Consent Agenda. The motion carried 5-0.

6. MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR

John Hall, 808 Third Street NE, provided a handout to staff and commented on agenda Item 9B. He understood two Councilmembers did not support the City moving forward with the plans and specs for the 2024 Street Project. He hoped this would be the case because he was against the assessment for this project. He explained several properties within the project area (the school district and cemetery) were not being assessed for this project. He believed this was not fair. He recommended the Council reconsider this project given the high expense that would be placed on the residents. He commented further that he believed his roadway was improperly installed when originally done in the 1970's. He discussed how difficult it would be to assess the retired individuals on his street and encouraged the City Council to pursue alternative funding options for this street improvement project. He reported if the City moves forward with this project the law firm of Malkerson Gunn & Martin will be retained to appeal and contest the assessments. He further discussed how long he has lived in this community and asked that the Council not move forward with this project until alternative funding sources or a new assessment policy was considered.

James Kelly, 64 Third Avenue NE, asked that Item 9B be moved to Matters from the Floor based on the question of privilege. He expressed concern with the REF that was being charged to residents.

City Attorney Tietjen explained because Mr. Kelly was not a member of the Council, he could not raise a question of privilege.

Mr. Kelly expressed frustration with the fact the rules are different for residents than for the Council.

Nick Torez, 133 Eighth Avenue NE, thanked the Council for allowing him to speak. He stated he wanted to address the Council noting he was opposed to the 2023 Street project in its current form. He reported he was basing this on the fact the 2023 Street project public hearing presentation was woefully inadequate. He believed the presentation lacked cost benefit analysis information, leading or lagging indicators of industry costs, and comparison of costs for other options. He wanted to learn more about where the benefit would be coming from once this project was completed. He supported the City Council holding another public hearing with assessment numbers included in the hearing notice.

7. SPECIAL BUSINESS

A. RECEIVE FY2022 AUDIT REPORT

Janel Bitzen, Bergan KDV, reviewed the 2022 audit report with the Council. She reported the City received a clean or unmodified opinion. She commented further on the independent auditors report, along with the 2022 revenues and expenditures, noting the health of the General Fund. She then reviewed the City's tax capacity, levy and tax rates for the previous year and discussed the health of the City's enterprise funds. She commended the City on their strong financial position and asked for comments or questions.

Hultstrom thanked Ms. Bitzen for her thorough presentation. She noted the City has trouble with segregation of duties due to the size of the City.

Vickerman reported the City had changed the job description for the City Clerk to try and address the segregation of duties concern. She expressed concerns with the balances of the City’s utility funds and noted 2023 was another drought year. She hoped the City would be able to boost the balances of these funds through the new water/sewer rates.

Poppe thanked Ms. Bitzen for her detailed presentation.

B. APPROVE DUFFY’S LIONS ROAR SPECIAL EVENT PERMIT

Grams requested the Council approve a special event permit for Duffy’s Lions Roar Party which would be held on September 8 and September 9 from 11:00 a.m. to 1:00 a.m. both nights. He explained this event was held every year in conjunction with Lions Roar.

A motion was made by Mueller, seconded by Schulz, to approve Duffy’s Lions Roar Special Event Permit. The motion carried 5-0.

C. ACCEPT DONATIONS

Grams stated the City has received the following donations:

<u>Donor</u>	<u>Amount/Item</u>	<u>Designated Fund</u>
Ronald and Nancy Roden	\$300	Beautification
Realife Cooperative of Osseo	\$500	Night to Unite

Staff recommended the Council accept the donations.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Mueller, to adopt Resolution No. 2023-35, accepting a donation from Ronald and Nancy Roden and Realife Cooperative of Osseo. The motion carried 5-0.

8. PUBLIC HEARINGS – None

9. OLD BUSINESS

A. APPROVE SECOND READING AND ADOPTION OF EDIBLE CANNABINOID PRODUCTS CONTAINING THC LICENSING ORDINANCE

City Attorney Tietjen stated the City Council addressed cannabis and hemp product regulation at its work sessions on June 26 and July 10, 2023. The City Council adopted a 12-month moratorium on the sale of edible cannabinoid products (“ECP”, such as gummies and beverages) which will expire on August 18, 2023. The City Council determined it is in the best interest of the City to enact a licensing framework related to the sale of edible cannabinoid products until licensing and regulation of hemp products occurs at the state level. The proposed licensing ordinance does the following:

- Requires a business to obtain a city-issued license prior to offering edible cannabinoids for sale.

- Establishes one licensing period effective from the date of issuance until March 1, 2025, or until the state issues licenses for hemp products, whichever occurs first.
- Exempts edible cannabinoid products that only contain cannabinoil (“CBD”) from the license requirement.
- Licenses may only be obtained by businesses that are also licensed to sell tobacco or on or off-sale liquor (including club licenses).
- On-site consumption may only occur at businesses licensed for on-sale liquor consumption.

City Attorney Tietjen explained the proposed ordinance is largely modeled after the City’s tobacco licensing ordinance. One difference is that it does not require additional background checks to obtain an ECP license since tobacco and liquor licensees have already gone through a background check process. The Council approved a first reading of the licensing ordinance at its meeting on July 24, 2023. After the first reading, the City Attorney added language to the ordinance, as directed by the Council, addressing possession and use of edibles by persons under the age of 21.

Hultstrom explained after the last meeting she spoke with Josh Duffy and he was questioning what an intoxicating level was for a THC beverage. She asked if Staff had any information regarding this matter. City Attorney Tietjen explained there was a lot of information available from the State and the League of Minnesota Cities regarding this matter. Grams explained the police department would be coordinating with any businesses that get licenses on how to detect if someone is intoxicated above the property level. He indicated the police department would be providing training regarding THC products.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Vickerman, to approve the second reading and adopt Ordinance No. 2023-03, adding a new Chapter 118 to the Osseo Code of Ordinances licensing the sale of edible cannabinoid products at tobacco and liquor establishments within the City and creating a violation for underage purchase, possession and use.

Mueller asked when businesses would be able to apply for a THC license. Grams stated staff had all paperwork prepared and letters would be provided to businesses on Tuesday, August 15. He explained applications could then be taken by Staff and could be considered by the Council at their next meeting.

The motion carried 5-0.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Vickerman, to adopt Resolution No. 2023-36, approving summary publication of Ordinance No. 2023-03. The motion carried 5-0.

B. CONSIDER PLANS & SPECS FOR 2024 STREET PROJECT

City Attorney Tietjen stated at the Council meeting on July 24, 2023, four Council members were present. A vote was taken on the 2024 street improvements and pursuant to state statute, Minn. Stat. 429.031, a 4/5 vote of all members of the Council is required. The vote was 3-1 and, therefore, the motion failed. Pursuant to City Code

Section 30.18(B), proceedings of the Council are conducted in accordance with Robert's Rules of Order, Revised. Under Robert's Rules, there are a couple of different ways that a body can change an action already taken. If a motion has been adopted or defeated during a meeting and at least one person on the "prevailing" side wants to have the vote reconsidered, that member may make a motion to Reconsider. According to Robert's Rules, however:

"A motion to Reconsider "can be made only on the same day on which the vote sought to be reconsidered was taken. The only exception to this rule is in the case of a session (such as a lengthy convention) in which meetings take place over a period of more than one day.

In that event, the motion to Reconsider can be made on the same day the original vote was taken or on the next succeeding day within the session on which a business meeting is held." Robert's Rules of Order in Brief, p. 59.

City Attorney Tietjen explained a motion to Reconsider is not applicable in this situation. The Council may, however, under Robert's Rules, make a motion at a subsequent meeting to rescind the prior vote. Any Council member may make the motion to Rescind; it is not limited to a member on the "prevailing" side. See Robert's Rules of Order in Brief, pp. 60-61. There are different voting requirements for a motion to Rescind depending on whether previous notice is given of an intent to make the motion. Where previous notice of the intent of a member to make a motion to Rescind is given, such as in a meeting agenda or notice of the meeting, a motion to Rescind requires a majority vote of the body to pass (where no previous notice is given, a motion to Rescind requires a two-thirds vote).

City Attorney Tietjen reported three Council members notified City Administrator Grams of their wish to have a motion to Rescind the vote taken on July 24 on the 2024 street improvements. Administrator Grams communicated this to the entire Council by email and included notice in the meeting agenda for the current Council meeting. Therefore, a motion to Rescind the prior action on the 2024 street improvements will require a majority vote to pass. If a motion to Rescind passes and the Council wishes to move forward with the improvements, a Council member must make a new motion on the 2024 street improvements and another vote would be taken. This would take place after the City Engineer presents her information.

A motion was made by Hultstrom to recommend the City Council take no action. The motion failed for lack of a second.

A motion was made by Mueller, seconded by Vickerman, to rescind the Council action and vote on July 24, 2023, related to ordering improvements, and preparation of plans and specifications for the 2024 Street Reconstruction and Rehabilitation Project. The motion carried 4-1 (Hultstrom opposed).

Alyson Fauske, WSB & Associates, reported the Council approved the feasibility report for this project without the optional sidewalk improvements and without the 1st St NW improvements on June 26, 2023, and called for the improvement hearing to be held on July 24, 2023. The 2024 Street Reconstruction & Rehabilitation Project consists of street improvements throughout the City as listed below. A map illustrating the various project

areas was reviewed with the Council. Street improvements consist of rehabilitating or reconstructing the existing bituminous pavement, utility improvements, and driveways. Some driveways in the reconstruction project corridor are steep however there appears to be minimal opportunity to reduce these grades and maintain sufficient slope on the street to convey drainage.

Ms. Fauske explained at the July 24, 2023 Public Hearing there was mention of funding that was approved in the last legislative session that could be used for this project. The Small Cities Assistance Program for cities under 5,000 population is not a grant that the city needs to apply for, rather it will be a distribution based on a funding formula. At this time the amount and time of distribution have not been determined. A representative from MNDOT estimates that funds will be disbursed in July, 2024. Staff commented further on the project timeline and recommended approval of the 2024 Street Reconstruction and Rehabilitation Project.

Hultstrom stated the City has set precedent with assessing a church in 2019. She recommended the cemetery area be assessed to St. Vincent. In addition, the school district should be assessed for their property. She felt strongly that the State should be assessed for their half of 9th Avenue. She commented on the additional storm sewer that was completed along 8th Avenue. She believed WSB was giving the Council their opinion on whether this could be a mill an overlay or total reconstruction project. She did not believe this project qualified for a total reconstruct if the infrastructure was not improved. She supported the City getting a second opinion given the fact this project was so expensive. She was also concerned with the comments that were made at Matters from the Floor and questioned if the City had the proper insurance in place to cover a lawsuit if this project were to move forward. She did not believe WSB has done their due diligence to see if there are any cost saving measures that could have been considered for this project. She stated she had concerns with the numbers and noted she would not be supporting this project.

Vickerman asked if the core sample could be made available to anyone who would like to review it. Ms. Fauske explained the coring report was included in the feasibility report as an appendix, which meant this information was available to the public.

Vickerman questioned what phase of the project would determine what properties would be assessed. Ms. Fauske reported properties could be added, but this would require the public hearing to be re-noticed.

Vickerman inquired when Staff could determine if the elevation of the existing curbs would work with the elevation of a repaired or new road. Ms. Fauske indicated she would want clear direction when given authorization to prepare plans and specifications. She discussed how roads settled over time. She commented on how the project plans would have to be reconsidered if spot curb was considered.

Vickerman asked if it was more expensive in the long run to do spot curb replacement if the existing curbing were to sink. Ms. Fauske reported some contingency dollars may be required to cover for these expenses. She then discussed how there was a longer lead time for storm drain materials.

Vickerman commented she did not believe the Council had fully considered all of the properties that need to be assessed and if there are properties that need to be added this had to be considered in the calculations. Ms. Fauske reported the cemetery based on how it operates was not subject to special assessments. She reported the City was not eligible to assess the State for this road improvement project. She noted she could look into past practice when it comes to assessing school district properties.

Schulz indicated the original assessment plan for this project was put together in 2022. He noted the school district does not have direct vehicular access to the property in question, which meant they were not eligible for assessment. City Attorney Tietjen explained she had not offered an opinion on this.

Schulz reported the City has assessed churches and schools in the past for street improvement projects. He supported the City assessing the school district for this project and noted he could support Staff reworking the numbers to take this into consideration. He believed it was right to have the school district paying their fair share. In addition, he wanted clarification on what work would be done on the Highway 81 frontage road. Ms. Fauske stated the work that would be done would be a mill and overlay.

Schulz explained this meant the City would be paying for the entirety of the mill and overlay for the Highway 81 frontage road. Ms. Fauske reported this was the case.

Schulz commented on how construction prices have increased from 2020 to 2022. He anticipated the costs for goods and services would only continue to increase going forward. He stated he wanted to be respectful of inflation and noted he did not see the cost for this project getting any less expensive. He supported delaying this project slightly in order to address the school district property. He was concerned about moving forward with spot curb replacement noting the City has received numerous complaints regarding this in the past. He supported the City utilizing the small cities grant funding to offset a portion of the costs. He understood there were people saying things about this project, but noted there were also a lot of people that were not. He stated he would be voting no on this project this evening, only because he wanted the school district property added to the assessment roll.

Poppe requested further information regarding the recommendation to do a full replacement versus a mill and overlay. Ms. Fauske explained the process that is used to get a recommendation for a full replacement includes going out and getting core samples. The pavement folks look at the core samples and look at the condition of the aggregate in the pavement and the bituminous product that binds the pavement. She commented further on how determinations were made for mill and overlay projects.

Poppe reported based on the professional opinions of experts it was determined this project should be a full reconstruct versus a mill and overlay. Ms. Fauske stated this was the case.

Hultstrom explained she showed the core samples to other companies and they did not have the same opinions. She indicated several of these roadways within the project area were 50 years old but get very little use. She believed a professional outside opinion on the core samples would be beneficial. In addition, she wanted to better understand why

the City cannot assess the State. She discussed how the State, churches and the school district benefit from new streets. City Attorney Tietjen reported there was State Statute in place that addressed assessments to the State.

Hultstrom indicated the City was already responsible for maintaining the State property. She believed it was only fair that the State paid their fair share for the assessment. She stated she was not comfortable with this project moving forward until further information could be provided to the Council regarding assessments for the State, the church and the school district. Along with a second opinion on the core samples and if this project had to be a full reconstruct.

Further discussion ensued regarding the sanitary and storm sewer work that was added near the lift station.

Hultstrom explained she was not happy with the due diligence that was done on this project and she would not be offering her support.

Schulz inquired if Staff had asked an outside agency to verify the core sample recommendations in the past five or six years. Grams stated this has not occurred.

Schulz commented on the sanitary system improvements that occurred near the lift station. He reported the reason the storm system was being included was to increase the City's capacity to hold storm water. He explained these recommendations were being made for the long-term benefit of the entire community. He agreed that the school assessment was a concern and should be further investigated, along with the cemetery property. He supported this project getting done sooner rather than later, but recommended the assessment roll be reconsidered.

Poppe asked what course of action the Council should take at this time. City Attorney Tietjen stated it would appear there were not four Councilmembers to move this item forward. She recommended the Council not take action to order the improvements, but rather the Council could direct Staff to come back with more information on the assessments. She indicated this would mean the 429 process would have to start over and another public hearing would have to be held.

Poppe questioned if the research could be completed in the next two weeks. Grams stated Staff could complete this work prior to the next Council meeting.

Schulz inquired if the Council should lay this item on the table. City Attorney Tietjen supported the Council taking no action on this item and instead recommended the Council direct Staff to research the assessments questions.

A motion was made by Schulz, seconded by Hultstrom, to direct Staff to research the assessment questions. The motion carried 5-0.

10. NEW BUSINESS

A. APPROVE PROPOSAL FOR LEAD WATER SERVICES INVENTORY

Alyson Fauske, WSB & Associates, stated the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) is implementing the Safe Drinking Water Act Lead and Copper Service Rule. The goal of the rule is to reduce lead levels in drinking water. The initial step of the rule requires an inventory of the materials of service lines and establishment of an electronic database that is accessible to the public. The services included in the proposal will fulfill the City of Osseo's requirements for this initial step. Photos of the water services were taken when the city replaced the water meters and represents the primary data source for setting up the inventory. Once the inventory is complete the next steps will be public engagement and inspections to inform the residents about the program and producing a report to submit to the MDH by October 16, 2024. These tasks are not included in the proposal since the work is dependent on the results of the inventory. City Council can expect another proposal to perform this work later this year.

Mueller asked if the City has not had any type of research or investigation into its lead pipe inventory. Grams stated to his knowledge the City does not have these records. Waldbillig explained the City does water sampling for lead and copper every other year. Ms. Fauske reported this study would provide City-wide information on the lead pipe inventory in the community. She commented further on how this was an unfunded mandate from the State.

Schulz wondered if the small cities grant from the State could be used to assist with funding this expense.

Mueller questioned why this work was required. Ms. Fauske explained the federal government wants to know how many homes in Osseo were being serviced by lead pipes. She indicated the next step would be to educate these residents.

A motion was made by Mueller, seconded by Schulz, to approve engineering proposal with WSB & Associates. The motion carried 5-0.

B. APPROVE FIRST READING OF FRANCHISE ORDINANCE WITH XCEL ENERGY

City Attorney Tietjen stated pursuant to authority in state law, cities may require that utility companies obtain a franchise authorizing use of public rights-of-way ("ROW) to provide service. Utility franchises are typically granted by ordinance. Additionally, cities may impose a franchise fee on utility companies to raise revenues and/or help defray municipal costs associated with utility operations within a city's boundaries. On November 28, 2011, the City of Osseo adopted Ordinance No. 2011-8 implementing an electric franchise fee on Northern States Power Company (dba Xcel Energy). Per the ordinance, the franchise fee commenced on March 1, 2012. Last year, Xcel representatives reached out to the City Administrator advising that the current franchise between Osseo and Xcel would be expiring in 2023. Xcel and the City's attorneys negotiated a new franchise ordinance for the Council's consideration and approval. She commented further on the proposed ordinance and recommended the Council approve the first reading.

Schulz asked if Staff reviewed City Code to see if this Ordinance would be supported. He supported the Council looking into its franchise fees and fees being charged to residents at a future worksession meeting.

A motion was made by Vickerman, seconded by Schulz, to approve the first reading of Ordinance No. 2023-04, a franchise ordinance with Xcel Energy. The motion carried 5-0.

C. APPROVE FEE SCHEDULE UPDATES

Grams stated Staff has reviewed the fee schedule for 2023 and has recommended updates. Staff reviewed the updates with the Council and recommended approval of the proposed changes.

Hultstrom asked if the City had a charge or fee for group homes. Grams reported the City does not.

Hultstrom supported the City putting a licensing fee in place for group homes. City Attorney Tietjen explained there may be a problem with that because group homes are licensed through the State.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Vickerman, to adopt Resolution No. 2023-37, updating the Fee Schedule for 2023. The motion carried 5-0.

D. APPROVE PUBLIC FACILITIES NEEDS PROPOSAL

Grams stated the City Council provided direction to City Staff to bring a proposal to conduct a public facilities needs assessment for the City to the August 14 meeting. The Council met on July 31 to discuss the state of the current City Hall/Fire Department/Police Department building, the Public Works building, and both Boerboom and Sipe Parks and the issues with those properties. The proposal will examine the current state of Osseo's public facilities, and determine the future building and park needs for the community in order to meet the increasing service demands of the community. This includes the following areas:

- Existing facilities assessment
- Space needs programming
- Conceptual designs
- Preferred concept development
- Cost opinion

Grams explained this proposal also includes up to three community meetings to engage members of the community to determine their wishes for the new facility. Staff commented further on the public facilities needs proposal and recommended approval.

Schulz stated nine years ago the City Council approved new space for the police department. He discussed how events in the country have led to dramatic changes to law enforcement. He explained the police department no longer has adequate space. He commented on how neighboring departments were unable to provide assistance to the police department, due to officer attrition. He commented further on how the City Hall building was aging and insufficient. He stated a vote for this item moving forward was a vote for Osseo remaining Osseo. He indicated he supported the City moving forward with the public facilities proposal.

Poppe reported this study would provide the Council with information on how best to utilize the space available and what options were available to the City going forward.

Vickerman requested further information regarding the cost of the public facilities report. Grams stated his original estimate was \$9,500, but the City would also be looking for an examination of City Hall, along with a larger comprehensive view of the parks, police department and public works facility.

Mueller explained she received a tour of City Hall and she was very surprised with what she was shown. She indicated she fully supported the public facilities study being completed for the health and safety of the City staff members.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Mueller, to approve the public facilities needs proposal from Oertel Architects. The motion carried 5-0.

E. APPROVE ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Grams reviewed the Accounts Payable with the Council.

Hultstrom noted the Delta upgrade expenses would be covered by City Administrator Grams.

A motion was made by Hultstrom, seconded by Mueller, to approve the Accounts Payable with the noted changes. The motion carried 5-0.

11. ADMINISTRATOR REPORT

Grams offered his condolences to Harold Johnson's family. He reported Harold was a very important figure in the community and he was sad to hear of his passing.

Grams thanked Assistant Fire Chief Haug for his service on the Osseo Fire Department.

12. COUNCIL AND ATTORNEY REPORTS

City Attorney Tietjen offered her condolences to Harold Johnson's family.

Hultstrom offered her sincere condolences to Harold Johnson's family.

Hultstrom reported she would be attending a League of Minnesota Cities HR and Data Practices committee meeting next week.

Mueller offered her condolences to Harold Johnson's family and stated she greatly appreciated how Harold mentored her over the past four years.

Mueller wished Charlie from Charlie's Corn all the best in his retirement.

Vickerman discussed how the Council had to be stewards on behalf of the community and she appreciated the collaboration of the Council when it came to the proposed street project.

Vickerman commented on the displacement matters that were discussed at the last Blue Line Extension committee meeting.

Vickerman offered her deepest condolences to Harold Johnson's family and thanked Harold for his years of dedicated service to the City of Osseo.

Schulz offered his condolences on behalf of himself and his family to Harold Johnson's family.

Schulz wished Assistant Fire Chief Haug all the best and noted he would be deeply missed.

Schulz commented on how the Council enjoyed hearing from the public and noted the Council hears the concerns being voiced. However he noted the Council had to take into consideration what was best for the overall community. He stated he always welcomed good respectful conversation with residents.

Poppe sent his deepest condolences to Harold Johnson's family. He explained he had many conversations with Harold over the years and noted he was a tremendous asset to the community.

13. ANNOUNCEMENTS

Poppe encouraged the public to attend the upcoming Music and Movie in the Park on Tuesday, July 25 at 7:00 p.m. where Penny Lane will provide music and the movie Shazam will be screened at dusk.

Poppe invited residents to visit the farmers market every Tuesday from 3:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Poppe reported City Hall would be closed on Monday, September 4 for Labor Day.

Poppe explained there would be a Red Cross Blood Drive at the Community Center on Wednesday, September 6 from 1:00 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

Poppe encouraged the public to attend the 2023 Lions Roar which would be held on Friday, September 8 and Saturday, September 9 in Downtown Osseo.

14. ADJOURNMENT

A motion was made by Schulz, seconded by Hultstrom, to adjourn the City Council meeting at 9:31 p.m. The motion carried 5-0.

Respectfully submitted,

Heidi Guenther
Minute Maker Secretarial